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Review of Classical 1 Cobordism


Def: two smooth $n$-manifolds $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ are cobordant it there is an (n+1)-manifold $M$ with $\partial M=M_{1} \cup M_{2}$.
$\left[M^{n}\right]=$ cobordism class of $n$-man! folds.
$U_{1} X$ make this into a ring $N_{k}$.
$N_{*}(Y)$ cobordism classes of maps $M \longrightarrow Y$.
$\mu$


Cobordism $\Rightarrow$ Homology
$N_{*}(X, A):$ Cobordism clusses of maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (N, \partial N) \rightarrow(X, A) \sim(M, \partial \mu) \rightarrow(X, A) \\
& \text { it } \exists(\omega, \partial o w, \partial, \omega) \\
& \partial_{0} \omega=\cdots=\text { MLN } \\
& \partial_{0} \omega \cap \partial, \omega=\partial\left(\partial_{0} \omega\right)=\partial(\partial, \omega) \\
& \partial_{0} \omega \cup \partial, \omega=\partial w \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Nobe: $N_{k}(x, A) \xrightarrow{\partial} W_{k-1}(A)$ is well-defined

$$
\rightarrow N_{k}(A) \rightarrow N_{k}(X) \rightarrow N_{k}(X, A) \rightarrow N_{k-1}(A) \rightarrow \ldots
$$

$\rightarrow$ We have a Homology Therry.

The Representing Spatrom

Claim: $N_{*} \cong \pi_{*}(M O) \quad\left[M O\right.$ represents $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { vioriented } \\ \text { Porism }\end{array}\right]$
$M^{k} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{q+k}$ w/ normal bundle $U$ \& Thom-Space $T v$ Poutrgagin -Thor:

$$
S^{a+t} \rightarrow \underbrace{T v \rightarrow T O(q)}_{\text {covers the classifying map. }}
$$

Gives map $\quad N_{k} \rightarrow \pi_{k} \mu_{0}$

Conversely: $\quad f: s^{q+k} \longrightarrow T O(q)$,
make $f$ transverse to the zero Section ot $\operatorname{TO}(q) \rightarrow B O(q)$.
$\Rightarrow \quad M=f^{-1}(B O(a))$ is a $k$-submanifold
(N(M) is pull buck of Classifying Map!)

Inverse of Pontigagin Thom Sout'd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g \simeq f: S^{a+k} \longrightarrow T O(q) \\
& \text { via } \quad F: S^{a+k} \times I \rightarrow T O(q)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=f^{-1}(B \circ(\varepsilon)) \\
& \mu=g^{-1}(B \circ(\varepsilon)) \\
& W=F^{-1}\left(B_{0}(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$W$ is a cobardism, provided that we can make $F$ transverse.
$\Rightarrow$ Map $\quad T_{*} M O \rightarrow N_{*} \quad$ is well defined \& an inverse to Pontryngin -Thou.

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \pi_{0}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \cong N_{A} \quad(\text { as rigs }!)
$$

Remark: $\pi \cdot M O \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \pi\left[X_{n} \mid n 6 \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, n \neq 2^{t}-1\right]$.

Equivariant Cobordism \& $M O_{G}$.

- Define $N_{*}{ }^{G}$ as before, but now with Smooth G-manifolds.

Equivariant Than Spectrom
Let $U$ be a complete G-universe.
we have

$$
\pi(v): E O(|v|, v \oplus u) \rightarrow B O(|v|, u)
$$

$T O_{G}(v)$ is the Than Spuce of $\pi(v)$.

$$
V \subseteq W \Rightarrow B O(|v|, V \oplus u) \rightarrow B O(|w|, w \oplus u l)
$$

Pullbock is $\pi(V) \oplus \mathbb{1}_{w-V}$ w/thom Spuce

$$
\varepsilon^{w-v} T O_{G}(v) .
$$

* Strature maps $\sigma: \varepsilon^{w-v} T_{G}(v) \rightarrow T O_{G}(w)$.

Failure of Pepresentability
$M O_{G}$ does not represent $N_{A}^{G}$
we have the pontryayin-Thom map

$$
N_{r}{ }^{G} \rightarrow \pi_{*}^{G}\left(\mu O_{G}\right)
$$

Transversulity is the obstruction to defining an inverse.

Ex from the book: $G=\mathbb{Z} / 2, \quad M=*, N=R$, $G 今 R$ by $x \mapsto-x$.

$f$ is not transverse to $Y=\{0\}$, \& you cant Separate them eavivaricutly.

A Fix (Washerman's Criteria)

The Previous example can be generalized:

$$
f: \mu \rightarrow N_{j} \quad \varphi \leq N_{J}
$$

reps in $v(Y)$ the anent in the turgent bundle of $M$ :


Fix: whenever we hare a sobmunito $1 d \quad Y \leq N$, demand reps in $N(Y)$, mate sue fit it also appears in the action on $M$. (Washerman cells this condition "conlistat")
when Can we Define an inverse to the collapse Mar?

Reduce nontrivial representations en universe l bund los. Revalue $M$ by Grixixed points, $U^{G} \cong \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$.

$$
E O\left(|v|, v \oplus \cdot \mu^{G}\right) \rightarrow B O\left(|v|, v \oplus u^{G}\right)
$$

Gives tog \&mog.
${ }^{m O_{G}}$ does represent $N_{*}^{G}$.

The inclusion $\mu^{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ induces mog $_{\mathrm{G}} \rightarrow M O_{G}$ the represents

$$
N_{a}^{G} \rightarrow M O_{G}
$$

Properties of $M O_{G}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{MO}_{k}^{G}(x, A) \cong \operatorname{colim}_{V} N_{k+|v|}^{G}((x, A) \times(D(v), S(v))) \\
& \underbrace{\text { "Pontryy gin } 7 \text { hom" }}_{\text {Mancuraly adding reess. }} \text { I } \\
& \Rightarrow M O_{k}^{G} \cong \operatorname{colim}_{V} N_{k+1 v 1}^{G}(D(v), S(v)) \\
& {[(m, \partial \mu) \rightarrow(D(v), S(v))] \in \mu_{O_{k}}{ }^{G} \text {. }} \\
& {[(\mu \times D(w), \partial(\mu \times D(w))) \rightarrow(D(v \oplus w), s(v \oplus w))]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Classes of soch a manifold over the disk of a rep is called a stabbemitd Vistual dimension is $\operatorname{dim} M-\operatorname{dim} V$.

MO ${ }_{k}^{G}$ is thus cobordism clusses of stuble mflds of $\operatorname{dim} K$.

Euler Class
$V$ a rep $w /$ no nontrivial sommands,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\nless c D(v)]=x(V) \in M O_{-n}^{G},} \\
& n=|v| .
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $V$ had a trivial summand then $* \hookrightarrow D(V)$ could be homo toped to $S(V)$, and so it would vanish in $N_{-n}^{G}(D(v), S(v))^{\text {. }}$
- Euler class is nontrivial (later)
* This is an element in $M O_{\alpha}^{G}$ that has no hope of appearing in $N_{r}^{G}$, which has nothing in negative dimension.

Periodicity of MOG

$$
-M O_{G}(v) \cong M O_{G}(\mid v l),
$$

So $\quad \varepsilon^{V} \mu O_{G} \simeq \varepsilon^{n} \mu O_{G}$, for $n=\mid V I$.
consider $\quad V \longrightarrow X$. This gives

$$
S^{V} \rightarrow T O_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow M O_{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\right)_{\ni}
$$

or

$$
S^{v-n}=\varepsilon_{n} S^{v} \rightarrow \mu O_{G}:
$$

The save for $R^{n} \rightarrow \infty$ gives $S^{n-v} \rightarrow M O G$.
Thus, we obtain an eavivelence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{V-n} \wedge M O_{G} \rightarrow M O_{G} \wedge M O_{G} \rightarrow M O_{G} . \\
\Rightarrow & M O_{k}^{G}(X) \cong M O_{G T U}^{G}(\varepsilon \vee X)
\end{aligned}
$$

Families \& Equivariont Colordism

Def: Let $F$ be a family. An $F$-manifold is a smooth $G$-manifold such tut all isotropy groups are in 5 .
Cull $N_{*}^{G}[\delta]$ to be the group of closed mills with restricted isotropy,
for $F^{\prime} \subset F$, we can form $N_{*} G^{\prime}\left[\delta_{,} F^{\prime}\right]$. with $M$ an $f$-manifold and $\partial M$ an $f^{\prime}$-manifold.

$$
E S \quad \psi(F)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{*}^{G}[\delta] \cong N_{*}^{G}(E F)_{y} \\
& N_{*} G[F](X) \cong N_{*}^{G}(X X E F) . \\
& \operatorname{MO}_{*}^{G}[F]:=\operatorname{MO}_{*}^{G}(E F) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noutriviality of Euler class

G compact Lie, $V$ a rep who trivia summends

$$
\Rightarrow X(v) \neq 0 \quad \in M O_{-n}^{G}, n=\mid v l .
$$

"Pf": $A=A l$ culbsps $\quad B=A l l$ proper subgroups.

$$
\mathrm{MO}_{\Delta}^{G}\left(E_{A} E B\right)
$$

Take $p: M O_{*}^{G} \longrightarrow M O_{x}^{G}[A, B]$.
claim $\varphi(x(v))$ is invertible $\Rightarrow x(0) \neq 0$.
Recall the $x(v)=[\& D(v)] \in \mu 0_{\infty}^{G}$.

$$
\varphi(x(v))^{-1}=[D(v) \rightarrow *] \in M O_{*}^{G}[A, B],
$$

since $\quad \partial D(v)=S(v)$ has no fired points.

Spectral Seqerver \& Induction

$$
\cdots \rightarrow N_{k}^{G}\left[F^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow N_{k}^{G}[F] \Rightarrow N_{k}^{G}\left[F, F^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow N_{k-1}^{G}\left[F^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \ldots
$$

Choose a filtration $F_{0} \subset F_{1} \subset \ldots$ of all subgroups whose union is the family of all Subgroups.

- Inductively understand $N_{3}^{G}\left[S_{0}\right]$ \& $N_{k}^{G}\left[f_{p,}, F_{p-1}\right]$.

Exact candle: $N_{\infty}^{G}\left[F_{p-1}\right] \longrightarrow N_{*}^{G}\left[\delta_{p}\right]$

$$
E_{p_{q}}^{\prime}=N_{q} G\left[F_{p,} F_{p-1}\right] \Rightarrow N_{\lambda}^{G}
$$

Page 1: $N_{q}^{G}$ [ $\left.\delta-p, F_{p-1}\right]$

$$
N_{*}^{G}\left[\{e \xi, \varnothing]=N_{b}^{G}[\{e \xi]\right.
$$

equivant Bordism of free closed $G$-manifolls
$M / G$ is also a manifold of $\operatorname{dim} M-\operatorname{dim} G$, Clussifying unp $M / G \longrightarrow B G$ tut respates cobordism relation, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{k}^{G}[\{e\}]= & N_{k-\operatorname{dim} G}(B G) . \\
& \neq \underset{\text { noveairarint }}{ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Goul: unterstend $N_{q}^{G}\left[F, F^{\prime}\right]$ by looking at "adjucent families" so $F=F^{\prime} U(H)$ swhere $(H)$ is the convugny class of $H$.
(Preduce to a nonequivariant cuburdism ring)
$\mathrm{N}_{q}\left[F_{p}, F_{p-1}\right]$ cont .d
$F=F^{\prime} U(H), G$ finite.

Let $\mu^{(H)}$ be the subset of $M$ w/ isotopy groups in $H$.
$\star \mu^{(H)} \subset \operatorname{int}(M)$, singe $\partial \mu$ is an $F^{\prime}$-manifold \& $\quad M^{(H)}=\bigcup_{k \in(I t)} M^{k}$ is a usia of dad melds.

Moreover $M^{k}$ we ald disjoint \& in fact

$$
M^{(H)} \cong G X_{N H} M^{H} \text {. }
$$

Let $N$ be a closed tubular neighborhood of $\mu^{(H)}$
$\Rightarrow(M, \partial M)$ is cobordant to ( $N, \partial N$ )
\& ( $N, \partial N$ ) is determined by by free WH-manifold

$$
M^{H} \& N H \text {-bundle } V\left(M^{H}\right) \text {. }
$$

* decompose bundle by ire. representations in each fiber
(Q:wly dues this extend to the whole bundle?)
$\mathrm{NQ}_{q}\left[F_{p}, F_{p-1}\right]$ counted

Let $\left(V_{1}, \ldots V_{m}\right)$ be the irreducible resreseations of $H$.
$\Rightarrow v\left(\mu^{H}\right)=\oplus v_{i}\left(\mu^{H}\right)$, where

$$
v_{i}=\underset{k}{\oplus} V_{i}
$$

But $v_{i}$ is determined by the free wH-bundle $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(V_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ with fitters $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

The point: $[\mu] \in N_{\xi}^{G}\left[f, f^{\prime}\right]$ can be brought of as free WH-manifolds together $w /$ a seanence of wh-bondks $\alpha_{1} \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ over $\mu \quad \omega /$ Structure group $O\left(n_{i}\right)$
Use this to see tut

$$
N_{k}^{G}\left[J_{,} F^{\prime}\right] \cong \sum_{\substack{d i m \omega H t j+\\ \sum n_{i} d_{i}=k}} N_{j}\left(E W H X_{w H}\left(\prod_{i} B O\left(\mathbb{R}_{3}, n_{2}\right)\right)\right.
$$

Some QuesGions:
Global ge lams

1) $M U_{G}^{*}$ classifies equivariant eq. cobrigs
$F G L s$. Equivariant Complex orienthion $\Rightarrow$ Equiveriat $F G$
\& livewise there is a conner-floyd isomorphism $\quad \widetilde{M U}_{G}^{*}(x) \otimes_{\text {MuGB }} K_{G}^{*} \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{C}^{*}(x)$.
Any Landweber exactuess theorm?
2) There is an S-cobortiscm theorem for G-manifolds classifying geometric cobortism (for manifolds satisfyring the "weath gap" lygathesis) Can ove ose this to prove an ewivariant "geromized Poincare" conjecture?
3) (G-trunsverslity revisited)
4) If we restrict to "norme cobodism, the obstunction to stuble $G$-transuaslity fur $\delta: M / \partial M \rightarrow T(V)$ over $Y$ arises as a class in the coliles of $N_{\mu}^{G}\left(M, \partial M_{j} Y, V\right) \rightarrow M O_{\lambda}^{G}(\mu, \partial \mu ; \varphi, V)$

Does Every cluss in the cofiter arise this way?

Problems

1) $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \wedge S^{2}$ by rotation $f^{\prime}=\{e\}, H=\mathbb{T} / 3$

Show the $(\mu, \partial \mu)$ is cobordut to $(N, \partial N)$ with $N$ a tubular neighborhood ot

$$
S^{2(H)}
$$

Via $S^{2} X I$ with smoothed corners.

* (Show this fact moe generally)

2) Why can we extend a decomposition of $\left.N(n)\right|_{p}$ into ier. representations to the entire base?
3) Show that

$$
M O_{k}^{G} \cong \operatorname{colim}_{V} N_{k+1 v_{1}}^{G}(D(v), S(v))
$$

USing Wasserman's Criteria as in
"G-transversulity Revisited" Prop 2.5
4) Show that $x(v)$ really has an inverse in $M_{8}^{G}[\& J B$
5) Isotropy separation thewis-Mny-sbeinberier gives a diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(E \mathbb{Z} / P \wedge M U_{\mathbb{Z} / P}\right)^{\mathbb{T} / P} \rightarrow\left(M U_{\mathbb{Z} / P}\right)^{\mathbb{T} / P} \Phi^{\mathbb{T} / P} M U_{\mathbb{D} / p} \\
& \downarrow \text { Zee } \downarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

How does this imply that we hoe a pullback of rings

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(M u_{I / P}\right) \star \rightarrow u_{\star}\left[u_{k}, u_{k}^{-1}, b_{k}^{(i)}\left[i 0_{0} k \in\left[/^{x}\right]\right.\right. \\
\vdots \\
M \\
M u_{\star}[[u]] /\left[[P]_{f} u\right) \rightarrow M u_{\star}[[u]] /[P]_{f} u\left[u^{-1}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

# https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~v1ranick/papers/costwan2.pdf 
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